Breaking the Bias Habit
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Drop Out of Women in Academia
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[Bar chart showing percentages of women in different academic fields and ranks across various disciplines.]
Why do you think it is important to have a diverse faculty and student body in academia?
Why Diversity?

- Diverse working groups are more productive, creative, and innovative than homogeneous groups
- Diverse groups engage in a higher level of critical analysis than do homogeneous groups
- Diverse scholars and professionals can invigorate and expand disciplines and fields
- Mentors and role models for all
- Fairness and equity
Why the Lack of Diversity?

- Unconscious bias
- Tendency of our minds to evaluate individuals based on characteristics (real or imagined) of the group to which they belong
- Consequences for both the evaluator, and the person being evaluated
Wow, you suck at math.

\[ \int x^2 = \pi \]

Wow, girls suck at math.

\[ \int x^2 = \pi \]
Three Central Ideas

1. Our minds are more than the sum of the conscious parts
   - Implicit processes

2. Unintended thoughts can contradict beliefs
   - Prejudice as a habitual response

3. Acting consistently with beliefs can require more than good intentions
   - Breaking the prejudice habit
Prejudice and Habits of Mind

Ordinary mental operations that serve us quite well in most circumstances can fail our intentions.
Essential Process...

• Translation of the world outside to a mental experience inside
  - Guided by our experience and expectations
  - Affects our perceptions, judgments, and behavior

• This translation process is not infallible
  - A variety of *habits of mind*, born out of experience, can separate our experience from reality
Stroop Color Naming Task

Compatible Trials
- RED
- BLACK
- BROWN
- GREEN
- YELLOW
- BLUE

Incompatible (interference) Trials
- RED
- BLACK
- BROWN
- GREEN
- YELLOW
- BLUE
Construction Worker Experiment
Measuring Unconscious Bias: Gender-and-Science IAT
Logic of the IAT

- IAT provides a measure of the strength of associations between mental categories such as “male and female” and attributes such as “science and humanities” disciplines.

- Strength of association between each category and attribute is reflected in the time it takes to respond to the stimuli while trying to respond rapidly.

- Trial Types
Congruent Trials

Say “LEFT” for
Science
OR
Men

Say “RIGHT” for
Humanities
OR
Women
Incongruent Trials

Say “LEFT” for
Science
OR
Women

Say “RIGHT” for
Humanities
OR
Men
IAT Effect

The larger the difference, the greater the bias in associating men with science and women with humanities.
Implicit Gender-Science Stereotypes

Male Respondents

Female Respondents

Implicit Science=Male / Arts=Female Stereotyping

Number of Respondents

Male Respondents

Female Respondents

11% 70% 71%

10%
Shift in Conceptualization of Prejudice

Old Framework = Prejudice is bad so if I think or act with bias, I am a bad person

New Framework = Prejudiced thoughts and actions are habits that we all have and breaking these habits requires more than good intentions
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Applications of Unconscious Bias in an Academic Setting

• Role Congruity/Incongruity

• Stereotype Threat
Stereotypes about men?

Stereotypes about women?
Role Congruity/Incongruity

The fit (or lack of fit) between gender norms and workplace roles
Stereotypes about scientists?
### Occupational Role Congruity for men

**Men (Agentic)**
- Strong
- Decisive
- Independent
- Don’t ask for directions
  - Logical
- Lack emotions
- Love sports
- Good at math

**Women (Communal)**
- Nurturing
  - Nice
- Supportive
  - Helpful
- Sympathetic
  - Verbal
  - Social
  - Creative

---

**“Scientist”**

[Diagram with arrows indicating balance between Agentic and Communal roles]
**Social Penalties** for Women

**Men (Agentic)**
- Strong
- Decisive
- Independent
- Don’t ask for directions
  - Logical
  - Lack emotions
  - Love sports
  - Good at math

**Social Penalties**

**Women (Communal)**
- Nurturing
  - Nice
  - Supportive
  - Helpful
  - Sympathetic
  - Verbal
  - Social
  - Creative
Hiring Lab Managers
Gender Bias in a Science Setting

- 127 faculty from Physics, Chemistry and Biology departments
- Evaluated an application for an entry-level Lab Manager position for:
  - Competence
  - Hireability
  - Likability
  - Starting Salary
  - Willingness to Provide Mentoring
- Application randomly assigned name “Jennifer” or “John”

Moss-Racusin et al. 2012.
Fig. 1. Competence, hireability, and mentoring by student (collapsed across faculty gender). All student gender differences \((P < 0.001)\). Scales range from 1 to 7, with higher numbers representing greater extent of each variable. Error bars represent SEs. \(n_{\text{male student condition}} = 64\).

Fig. 2. Salary conferral by student gender condition (collapsed across faculty gender). The student gender difference is significant \((P < 0.01)\). The scale ranges from $15,000 to $50,000. Error bars represent SEs. \(n_{\text{male student condition}} = 63\); \(n_{\text{female student condition}} = 64\).
Stereotype Threat

Members of negatively stereotyped groups may underperform when reminded of their group membership.
Stereotype Threat When Choosing Major

• 39 undergraduate students, non-declared major
• Entered room in two conditions:
  – Stereotypical computer science objects
  – Non-stereotypical objects
• Filled out a questionnaire measuring level of interest in computer science as a major

Cheryan et al. 2009.
Classroom Environments

Stereotypical room

Cheryan, Plaut, Davies & Steele, *Journal of Personality & Social Psychology*, 2009

Images used with permission of Dr. Sapna Cheryan
Classroom Environments

Non-stereotypical room

Cheryan, Plaut, Davies & Steele, Journal of Personality & Social Psychology, 2009

Images used with permission of Dr. Sapna Cheryan
Environment influences women’s interest in CS

Cheryan, Plaut, Davies & Steele, Journal of Personality & Social Psychology, 2009
Images used with permission of Dr. Sapna Cheryan
Environment influences women’s interest in CS

- **Interest in computer science (standardized)**
  - **Stereotypical Environment**
    - Men: ~0.5
    - Women: ~0.2
  - **Nonstereotypical Environment**
    - Men: ~0.5
    - Women: ~0.9

Interaction: $F(1, 35) = 10.22, p < .01$

Images used with permission of Dr. Sapna Cheryan
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Strategies to Reduce the Influence of Implicit Bias
Personal Bias-Reducing Strategies

- Strategies that DO NOT WORK:
  - Stereotype suppression
  - Belief in personal objectivity

Macrae et al. 1994

Uhlmann & Cohen 2007
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steps to take...</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recognize when you have stereotypic thoughts.</td>
<td>• Women students are less interested in engineering than in social science</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognize stereotypic portrayals in society.</td>
<td>• Portrayal of females as poor at math or males as unable to do housework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Label the characterization as stereotypical.</td>
<td>• Men as agentic, women as communal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify precipitating factors.</td>
<td>• Priming with gender-congruent information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Challenge the fairness of the portrayal and replace it with a non-stereotypic response.</td>
<td>• I know many successful women engineers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Research does not support a gender difference in math performance once we control for the number of math courses taken</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Strategy 2. Counter-Stereotype Imaging

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steps to take...</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Help regulate your response by imagining a counter-stereotype woman in detail.</td>
<td>• <em>Imagine an astronaut, engineer, CEO who is also a woman</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• <em>Think about specific positive counter-stereotypical individuals you know</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Strategy 3. Individuating (vs. generalizing)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steps to take...</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Avoid making a snap decision based on a stereotype.</td>
<td>• Make gender less salient than being a scientist, physician, or engineer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obtain more information on specific qualifications, past experiences, etc., before making a decision.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice making situational attributions rather than dispositional attributions.</td>
<td>• If a woman does poorly on an exam, consider a situational attribution (not enough sleep) rather than a dispositional attribution (she’s terrible at engineering)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Strategy 4. Perspective-Taking**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steps to take...</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Adopt the perspective (in the first person) of a member of the stigmatized group. | *Imagine what it would be like to...*  
  - Have your abilities called into question  
  - Be viewed as less committed to your career than colleagues with similar training and effort  
  - Not be offered opportunities because of assumptions about family responsibilities or about your research interests |
## Strategy 5. Increasing Opportunities for Contact

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steps to take...</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seek out opportunities for greater interaction with counter-stereotypic women</td>
<td>• <em>Attend meetings or gatherings of minority-serving groups at your professional meetings</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• <em>Ensure guest teachers or speakers to the class or department are diverse</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5 Strategies to Reduce the Influence of Implicit Bias

- Stereotype replacement
- Counter-stereotypic imaging
- Individuating
- Perspective-taking
- Increasing opportunities for contact
Actions to Take in the Lab or Classroom

• Address the “confidence gap”
  – Realize that stereotype threat may be at play when underrepresented persons downplay their achievements. Do not take statements of doubt in abilities at face value.

• Ensure equal opportunity in the classroom
  – Create a system to call on all students equally, for example, a deck of cards with names that can be selected at random.

• Avoid creating “tokens”
  – To the extent possible, ensure that women or URM students are not “tokens” in a lab or study group. Assign at least 2 women or 2 minorities to a group if you can.

• Monitor images
  – Ensure that artwork, pictures, photographs convey inclusive messages.
  – Ensure assignments provide inclusive examples and problems.
Breaking the Prejudice Habit

• Not necessarily easy

• With effort (awareness, motivation, and a sustained commitment), prejudice is a habit that can be broken
  – Can expect that you may slip up
  – Stay committed

• Strategies we provided are powerful tools to combat implicit biases
  – Implicit responses can be brought into line with explicit beliefs
Evaluation Survey

http://tinyurl.com/wiseli
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